The Telecommunications Act, 2023

R.V. Anuradha & Piyush Joshi



The Highlights

- □ An adaptive and future ready regulatory liberalized framework: will ensure adaptability to evolving technologies;
- □Clarity in processes for Authorization for Telecom Services & Networks; and Assignment of Spectrum;
- Streamlines framework for Right of Way to lay Telecommunication Networks over both Public & Private properties (adding to efficiency of roll out of telecom networks & services);
- □Adjudicatory mechanism made business-friendly; concept of Voluntary Undertaking;
- □ Rationalising Penalties, with focus on Civil Penalties, while confining imprisonment to only egregious offences.



What it replaces

The Telecommunications Act replaces the trio of laws:

Indian Telegraph Act, 1885;

Indian Wireless Telegraphy Act, 1933;

■ Telegraph Wires (Unlawful Possession) Act, 1950



Key Milestones in Telecom Liberalisation in India

1984: Subscriber terminal equipment manufacture opened for private sector

1991: Telecom equipment manufacture opened for private sector

1992: Value added services opened up for private sector investment: (a) electronic mail, (b) voice mail, (c) data services, (d) audio text services, (e) video text services, (f) video conferencing, (g) radio paging and (h) cellular mobile telephone.

1994: New Telecom Policy- first major step towards deregulation, liberalization.

1997: TRAI Act establishing the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India

1999: National Telecommunications Policy, replacing the 1994 policy

<u>2000</u>: Telecom Disputes and Settlement Appellate Tribunal (TDSAT) established through amendments to the TRAI Act

<u>2003</u>: TRAI recommendations on Unified Access Service License (UASL), followed by DoT issuance of UASL guidelines

2008: 2G licenses on first come-first serve basis

2012: Supreme Court striking down 122 2G licenses, leading to significant reform in spectrum assignment process

Key Judicial pronouncements

- Significant role played by the Judiciary in interpreting/ clarifying the legal framework since liberalization.
- Judicial pronouncements span a wide array of issues, for e.g.:
 - **Spectrum Assignment**: 2G Judgment (*CPIL v. UOI, 2012*); In re Special Reference (2012)
 - Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR): UOI v. AUTSPI (2011; 2019; 2020; 2021);
 - Right of Way related aspects;
 - Taxation
 - Bankruptcy regulations
 - Lawful Interception/ Temporary Suspension
 - Role of TRAI



Key Features of the Telecommunications Act, 2023

Authorisation

Authorisation mandatory for:

- Providing Telecommunication services,
- Establish, operate, maintain or expand telecommunication network, or
- Possess radio equipment subject to such
- Different terms and conditions, including fees or charges, can apply for different services, networks, equipment.
- No one-size-fits-all approach.
- Exemptions possible; existing exemptions would continue
- Existing telecom licenses: Option to continue for duration granted; or migrate to new authorisation regime.



Assignment

- Spectrum vests with the Central Govt. which shall own on behalf of the people of India
- Auction of spectrum: default process, except for items in Schedule
- Items under First Schedule can be granted spectrum through Administrative assignment. Examples:
 - National security, Defence, Public broadcasting, Safety in public transport services; Conservation of natural resources/wildlife, etc.
 - Meteorological purposes
 - Amateur stations, navigation, telemetry
 - Space research, launch vehicle operations
 - Certain specified satellite services
 - Testing, trial, demonstration purposes
- Consistent with International practices.



Assignment (cont'd)

 Refarming & Harmonisation: Enabling provisions for efficient use of spectrum

Technologically neutral use of spectrum

• Optimal use of spectrum: Enabling powers to redress unutilised use



Right of Way

• Efficiency of roll-out- fundamental to telecom services.

• With network infrastructure evolving into small cells, modules, there is no need for any major access requirements or land acquisition

Provisions to streamline RoW in Public & Private properties



Public Safety, National Security

■ Rules under the earlier Telegraph Act on interception & suspension of telecommunication services, had been refined pursuant to the judgments of the Supreme Court in *PUCL v. UOI* (1997), *Anuradha Bhasin* (2020) & *Puttuswamy* (2017)

The framework under the new Act is based on the Constitutional safeguards as laid out by the courts

• Additional provisions:

- National Security: Enabling framework to notify trusted sources
- Notification of specific Telecom Networks as Critical Public Infrastructure:
 Specific standards, origin-specific requirements.



User Protection

- Provisions to contemplate steps taken by TRAI with regard to:
 - Prior consent for specific category of messages offering, advertising or promoting goods, services, interest in property, business opportunity, employment opportunity or investment opportunity,
 - Do-Not-Disturb mechanism
 - Ability of users to report malware/ contravention of obligations on specified messages

Online Dispute resolution mechanisms between users & authorised entities



Digital Bharat Nidhi /Universal Service Obligation Fund (USOF)

Renaming of USOF & Expansion of its Objectives

- Other than serving rural & underserved areas, following added to uses of the fund:
 - Support R& D activities
 - Pilot projects/ consultancy services for achieving its objectives



Regulatory Sandbox: A unique feature

Live testing environment where:

- new products, services, processes and business models may be deployed
- on a limited set of users,
- for a specified period of time, with certain relaxations from the provisions of this Act.
- A unique feature of the law that will enable testing of new technologies for telecom services

RBI & SEBI have put in place sandbox frameworks for testing of financial technology and products; but this is the first time such an enabling framework has been put in place for the telecom sector.



Adjudication mechanism

- 2-tier dispute resolution framework within the DoT to deal with Breach of Terms & Conditions of Authorisation or any Contraventions:
 - Adjudicating Officer
 - Designated Appeals Committee
- Factors for consideration while assessing penalties:
 - Nature, gravity & impact of the contravention
 - Whether repetitive, or intentional or negligent
 - Action of the authorised person w.r.t remedial actions
- Voluntary Undertakings: Voluntary Disclosure of the contravention & mitigation measures
- Overall: A Business friendly approach
- Appeals would lie to the TDSAT or Civil Courts, as the case may be



Offences

- Civil Penalties for most contraventions:
 - Second & Third Schedule provide details of Civil Penalties for Breach of Terms & Conditions of Authorisation & Other contraventions
- Imprisonment only for egregious offences, e.g.:
 - Providing services/ network without authorisation
 - Unlawful interception
 - Impersonation
 - Unlawful use of telecom identifiers/ tampering with such identifiers
 - Violating National security



Next steps

Rule making & effective implementation

Institutional set up for Adjudication to ensure effective implementation of its business friendly approach



Thank you!

